In the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

MINNESOTA TELECOM ALLIANCE, ET AL., *Petitioners*,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondents.

On Petition for Review from the Federal Communications Commission (No. 22-69, FCC 23-100)

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

JENNIFER B. DICKEY
KEVIN R. PALMER
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION
CENTER
1615 H Street NW
Washington, DC 20062
(202) 463-5337

Counsel for Petitioner the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America JEFFREY B. WALL
MORGAN L. RATNER
ZOE A. JACOBY
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
1700 New York Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006

(202) 956-7500 wallj@sullcrom.com

CONNIE L. WANG
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
550 Hamilton Avenue

Palo Alto, CA 94301

Counsel for Petitioners the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, Texas Association of Business, and Longview Chamber of Commerce

(Additional counsel on next page)

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 1 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933

ROMAN MARTINEZ
MATTHEW A. BRILL
BLAKE E. STAFFORD
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street NW,
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 637-2200
roman.martinez@lw.com

Counsel for Petitioners
MCTA – The Missouri Internet
& Television Association, Ohio
Cable Telecommunications
Association, Florida Internet &
Television Association, Texas
Cable Association, and NCTA –
The Internet & Television
Association

MICHAEL H. PRYOR BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 1155 F Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20004 (202) 383-4706 mpryor@bhfs.com

DAVID B. MESCHKE
ROSA L. BAUM
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER
SCHRECK, LLP
675 Fifteenth Street, Suite 2900
Denver, CO 80202

Counsel for Petitioner National Multifamily Housing Council, Inc. THOMAS SCOTT THOMPSON MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. 555 12th Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20004 (202) 434-7440 SThompson@mintz.com

Counsel for Petitioners the Wireless Infrastructure Association, Power & Communications Contractors Association, and NATE: The Communications Infrastructure Contractors Association

THOMAS M. JOHNSON, JR. JEREMY J. BROGGI
MICHAEL J. SHOWALTER
BOYD GARRIOTT
WILLIAM TURNER
WILEY REIN LLP
2050 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 719-7000
TMJohnson@wiley.law

Counsel for Petitioners
Minnesota Telecom Alliance,
Ohio Telecom Association,
USTelecom - The Broadband
Association, CTIA - The
Wireless Association, Broadband
Association of Alabama and
Mississippi, Texas Telephone
Association, and WISPA - The
Association For Broadband
Without Boundaries

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 2 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933

JEFFREY A. LAMKEN
RAYINER I. HASHEM
MOLOLAMKEN LLP
The Watergate, Suite 500
600 New Hampshire Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 556-2024
jlamken@mololamken.com

Counsel for Petitioner ACA Connects – America's Communications Association STEPHEN E. CORAN LERMAN SENTER PLLC 2001 L Street NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 416-6744 scoran@lermansenter.com

Counsel for Petitioner WISPA – The Association For Broadband Without Boundaries

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 3 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and Eighth Circuit Rule 26.1A, Industry Petitioners make the following disclosures:

ACA Connects – America's Communications Association has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock, pays 10% or more of its dues, or possesses or exercises 10% or more of the voting control of ACA Connects.

The Broadband Association of Alabama and Mississippi is a non-profit 501(c)(6) organization that has no parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Broadband Association of Alabama and Mississippi.

The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America has no parent corporation, and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

CTIA – The Wireless Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Florida Internet & Television Association has no parent company, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Longview Chamber of Commerce has no parent corporation, and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

MCTA – The Missouri Internet & Television Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly traded corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

The Minnesota Telecom Alliance is a non-profit 501(c)(6) organization that has no parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Minnesota Telecom Alliance.

NATE: The Communications Infrastructure Contractors Association (NATE) is a Section 501(c)(6) not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of South Dakota. NATE does not have a parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has an ownership stake of 10% or more in it.

National Multifamily Housing Council, Inc. (NMHC) is a Section 501(c)(6) not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of Washington, D.C. NMHC does not have a parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has an ownership stake of 10% or more in the corporation.

NCTA – The Internet & Television Association has no parent companies, subsidiaries, or affiliates whose listing is required by Rule 26.1.

Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly traded corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

The Ohio Telecom Association is a non-profit 501(c)(6) organization that has no parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Ohio Telecom Association.

Power & Communications Contractors Association (PCCA) is a Section 501(c)(6) not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of Missouri. PCCA does not have a parent corporation and no publicly held corporation has an ownership stake of 10% or more in it.

Texas Association of Business has no parent corporation, and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

Texas Cable Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly traded corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Texas Telephone Association is a non-profit 501(c)(6) organization that has no parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Texas Telephone Association.

USTelecom – The Broadband Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Wireless Infrastructure Association (WIA) is a Section 501(c)(6) not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of Virginia. WIA does not have a

parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has an ownership stake of 10% or more in it.

WISPA – The Association For Broadband Without Boundaries has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

MOTION OF INDUSTRY PETITIONERS FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Industry Petitioners respectfully move this Court for leave to supplement the record with the attached proposed Special Appendix of Standing Declarations. Counsel for Industry Petitioners has contacted the other parties in this case for their position on the motion, and no party opposes.

In an agency rule challenge like this one, "a petitioner whose standing is not self-evident should establish its standing" by submitting affidavits or declarations with its "opening brief." *Owner-Operator Indep. Drivers Ass'n* v. *U.S. Dep't of Transp.*, 831 F.3d 961, 968 (8th Cir. 2016) (citation omitted); *Owner-Operator Indep. Drivers Ass'n* v. *U.S. Dep't of Transp.*, 878 F.3d 1099, 1102 n.4 (8th Cir. 2018) (citation omitted). Industry Petitioners' standing in this case is self-evident because Industry Petitioners have members that are the object of the challenged rule. *See Lujan* v. *Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 561-562 (1992); *Hunt* v. *Washington State Apple Advert. Comm'n*, 432 U.S. 333, 343 (1977). But consistent with common practice in agency litigation, several Petitioners plan to submit standing declarations out of an abundance of caution.

The Eighth Circuit Rules, however, do not address the proper method for submitting such declarations. Industry Petitioners cannot include the

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 8 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933

declarations in the required Addendum, because they exceed 15 pages in length. See 8th Cir. R. 28A(g)(2). Nor would it make sense to include the declarations in the parties' joint appendix, because the parties have deferred the filing of that appendix until briefing concludes in August. See Judge Order, Entry ID 5379523, (8th Cir. Apr. 2, 2024). In light of those constraints, the case manager for this case informed counsel for Industry Petitioners that the appropriate procedure is to file a motion for leave to supplement the record.

Industry Petitioners therefore respectfully request leave to supplement the record and file the attached Special Appendix of Standing Declarations.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Jeffrey B. Wall

JENNIFER B. DICKEY
KEVIN R. PALMER
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER
1615 H Street NW
Washington, DC 20062
(202) 463-5337

Counsel for Petitioner Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

ROMAN MARTINEZ
MATTHEW A. BRILL
BLAKE E. STAFFORD
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 637-2200
roman.martinez@lw.com

Counsel for Petitioners MCTA – The Missouri Internet & Television Association, Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association, Florida Internet & Television Association, Texas Cable Association, and NCTA – The Internet & Television Association JEFFREY B. WALL
MORGAN L. RATNER
ZOE A. JACOBY
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
1700 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 956-7500
wallj@sullcrom.com

CONNIE L. WANG SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 550 Hamilton Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301

Counsel for Petitioners Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, Texas Association of Business, and Longview Chamber of Commerce

THOMAS SCOTT THOMPSON
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
555 12th Street NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 434-7440
SThompson@mintz.com

Counsel for Petitioners the
Wireless Infrastructure
Association, Power &
Communications Contractors
Association, and NATE: The
Communications Infrastructure
Contractors Association

3

MICHAEL H. PRYOR BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 1155 F Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20004 (202) 383-4706 mpryor@bhfs.com

DAVID B. MESCHKE
ROSA L. BAUM
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER
SCHRECK, LLP
675 Fifteenth Street, Suite 2900
Denver, CO 80202

Counsel for Petitioner National Multifamily Housing Council, Inc.

JEFFREY A. LAMKEN
RAYINER I. HASHEM
MOLOLAMKEN LLP
The Watergate, Suite 500
600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 556-2024
jlamken@mololamken.com

Counsel for Petitioner ACA Connects
- America's Communications
Association

THOMAS M. JOHNSON, JR. JEREMY J. BROGGI
MICHAEL J. SHOWALTER
BOYD GARRIOTT
WILLIAM TURNER
WILEY REIN LLP
2050 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 719-7000
TMJohnson@wiley.law

Counsel for Petitioners Minnesota
Telecom Alliance, Ohio Telecom
Association, USTelecom – The
Broadband Association, CTIA –
The Wireless Association,
Broadband Association of
Alabama and Mississippi, Texas
Telephone Association, and
WISPA – The Association For
Broadband Without Boundaries

STEPHEN E. CORAN LERMAN SENTER PLLC 2001 L Street NW | Suite 400 | Washington, DC 20036 (202) 416-6744 scoran@lermansenter.com

Counsel for Petitioner WISPA – The Association For Broadband Without Boundaries

APRIL 22, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This motion complies with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2) and this Court's order of April 2, 2024 because it contains 300 words.

This motion also complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a) because it was prepared in 14-point font using a proportionally spaced typeface.

s/ Jeffrey B. Wall Jeffrey B. Wall

APRIL 22, 2024

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 12 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 22, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing motion with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit by using the CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

s/ Jeffrey B. Wall JEFFREY B. WALL

APRIL 22, 2024

Appellate Case: 24-1179 Page: 13 Date Filed: 04/22/2024 Entry ID: 5385933